G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

SR File No. 4 of 1893-94

1893-94

(Sep Rev)

Serial Nos. 1–13.

Later references.

SR 90 f 01

(37)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–13

Major **Part B for** July 1896.

SUBJECT.

Claims to toddy revenue of the Inamdars of Sajjanekare, Chikkerahalli, Tippareddihalli & Chickenahalli.

To. Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Submits para 5 of the G. P. 1019-29 Cer. 4 Dd 12-4-92 calling for a report in each case of claim for toddy revenue in an Inam village.

2. From. Excise Commissioner No 114/11 Dd 8-7-93.

Forwards copy of correspondence from the Dy Commissioner Chitaldrug and States that Inamdar of Sajjanekare is not entitled to the toddy revenue.

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

3. To Excise Commissioner & Dy Commissioner of districts } No 443/R69 Dd 11-7-93

Invites the Dy Commissioner

attention to C.P. 1019 24/4 D 12-4-92 and Request Submission of a Report.

4. From. Excise Commissioner No 1936/217 D 1-12-93

Requests for an early ~~reply~~ orders in the Case of Sayyanakere Inamdar's claim.

5. To. Excise Commissioner No 8830/R902 D 2-12-93

Returns the Correspondence from Dy Commissioner Chitaldrug & for resubmission with necessary corrections.

6. To Excise Commissioner No 13007/RF 165-92 D 7-2-94

Invites his attention to this Office 8830/R902 D 2-12-93 & 11529-30/RF 165-92 D 15-1-94 for his an early Submission of Report.

7. From. Excise Commissioner No 4076/400 D 15-6-94

Reports that the Inamdar of Sayyanakere is not entitled to toddy Revenue.

8. From. Excise Commissioner 1186/120 D 10-9-94.

Forwards with opinion copies of Communication from Dy. Commissioner Chitaldrug with Records of enquiry regarding Chekenahalli Inamdar's claim.

9 From Excise Commissioner No. 1667/168 D 6-10-94

Submits Records of enquiry regarding the toddy claim of the Inamdar of Chikkena-halli

10. From. Excise Commissioner 1668/169 D 6-10-94

Submits Records of enquiry regarding the toddy claim of the Inamdar of Thipparaddi-halli

11 From. Gool Bahadsha. Inamdar of Sayyanakere D 14-7-96.

Claims to the toddy Revenue of his Inam under the term "Daraband" used in his Sanad.

'S K 4 1/95

Subject Claim by Subbadshaw, Inamdar of Sajjankere Chitaldroog Distt to the Toddy Revenue of his Inam village.

From the previous records it will ~~be~~ be seen that he is not entitled to Toddy Revenue. The Dy Commr of Chitaldroog on an enquiry held into the case has decided ~~that~~ as ~~below~~ follows:—

"It is clear that the Inam settlement does not give this Inamdar (petitioner) a right to the Toddy Revenue of his village; and that whether the word Darobust used in the Sannad includes the right to Toddy Revenue or not, is a matter to be settled by the Inamdar either by appeal to Govt".

The petitioner now appeals against the order of the Supt

× Enclosure to the Excise Commr's letter No 4076/400 dt 15/6/94 put up.

of Inam Settlement and prays that the interpretation of the word Darobust as given by the Supte may be cancelled and that his share of the Toddy revenue ordered to be paid to him.

Called by 3rd Cr.

It is too late now to appeal against the Inam settlement. The Appellant seems to have no case

27-7-96

Reject the claim, brought forward on the ground that a toddy shop was opened in the Inam village in 92-93. The village has not toddy tree nor the Inamdar enjoyed any toddy revenue before the said year

29/7/96

Extract from the Register of [illegible]

(General Serial No. 32.) (16) of 69-70.

1869-70 (2)

No. 3689

Name of Petitioner. Gowsbadsha Jhagirdar &

Village. Gujjanakere + Rungapura

Taluk. Chitaldroog

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 20-8-69

Date of Receipt. 23-8-69

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that a Sanad had been given from, before the Survey of this Province by Hyder Ali directing that from the resources of the said Jaghir villages the performance of Aoros once a year all Fakeers who accomadate themselves in the Makkan of Ahmedsha in the said Cusbah should be supp with Rice etc for three day and for the cost of keeping

the A

the same in good condition_
that accordingly the Jaghir
has been in his possession
the petitioner fulfilling the
tenor of the Samad. that
since the year Vebhava he
has been pressed by the
Taluk authorities to pay
Local Funds_ that as
in no person of his dis=
cription have been asked
to pay such trivial taxes
as thes and as the Local
Funds fined for the Jaghir
amounts to 40 Rupees and
10 annas, petitioner prays
for orders for exemption of
the Jhagir from the same

(8 annas stamp)

Order passed

I see no reason to exempt
the petitioner from the pay-
ment of the Local Funds.

15-8-69. (Initialed) L.B.

Extract from the Register

(5) J 71-72

(General Serial No. 32.)

189~~4~~-72 (3)

No. 283

Name of Petitioner. Goolbadsha Saib ~~Fakeer, Sowaricandar of~~

Village. Suchinakere and Ranpoor

Taluk. Chitaldroog.

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 8-5-71

Date of Receipt. 10-5-71

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States ~~that~~ the said two villages are continued to the Ahmedsha Durgah the same having been given ~~for the~~ perpetuity of charity in the time of Nabob and possesses Sanads granted by Nabob, Maha Rajah, Poorniah and Commissioner. ~~that in~~ these Sanads mention is made exempting the villages from all kinds of taxes. ~~that~~

that while so the Taluk-
authorities not only exacted
from him local fund last
year but demand of him
the same this year also-
that when the Inam Commissioner
came there he perusing the
Samaad and initialling
them returned them to him
saying that he is exempted
from the payment of all
taxes that the villages have
been granted for the continuance
of charitable acts - that not-
withstanding the Taluk —
authorities recovered from
him a sum of Rupees 40-0-0
as local fund. that in
the Title Deed granted to him
by the Inam Commissioner
no mention is made directing
the recovery of the same from
him - Begs therefore for
orders not only to exempt-
him from the same, but-
to refund the same already
recovered from him —

(Plain paper)

Order passed

Reject being unstamp
=ed. (Initialled) R.J. In
dated 25-5-71

Extract from the Section. Book 3 71-72

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 1-72 (4)

No. 1269

Name of Petitioner. Fakeer Ahmed Shaw Jaghirdar

Village. Sujjanakere + Rungapur - Siduvanahally Samath

Taluk. Chitaldroog

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 21-10-71

Date of Receipt. 24-10-71

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that the said two villages are continued to the Durgah of Ahmed Shah as Sirwamanium that these villages have not been subjected to any kind of taxation during the administration of Dewan Poorniah, H. H the late Maharajah Krishnaraja Wodier and Sir M. Cubbon the late Chief Commissioner but now the tax called "Road Fund" has been imposed on

n these villages at 1 anna per Rupee of their Bareez that the Inam Commissioner perused the Saunads etc of these villages, and saying that these villages will be subjected to no kind of Sanation went away — Prays in conclusion for orders to remit the above Local Fund

(One Rupee Stamp)

Order passed

I am sorry that it is not in my power to authorize a compliance with petitioner's request The Local Fund cess is payable by all landholders whether on Inam or Coondayum tenure.

(Initialed). R. J. In.
Dated 3-4-72

Exempt from the operation of the Mysore Inam Act 1858/74.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893-74.

No. 2644 (5)

Name of Petitioner. Gool Alifah Saib

Village. ~~Jaghirdar of~~ Suppakere

Taluk. Chitaldroog

District.

Date of Arzi. 21st January/74

Date of Receipt. 9th February/74

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that during the progress of the Survey and Inam operations assurances were held out that there will be no interference with the Durgah Villages, but to the contrary the Villages were 3 or 4 years back subjected to the payment of a local cess at 1 anna in the rupee and now an additional cess under the name of Irrigation has been shewed &c. Pray that considering the charitable character of the Institution, the Villages in question may

may be made an exception to the rule.

Duplicate Note.

The Ceises complained of have been authoritively sanctioned and must be paid.

(Sd) B. Gopalaiah
Head Secretaries
12-3-74.

Order passed.

Inform Petitioner that his request cannot be complied with.

(Signed) J. T. T. Mackenzie
for Offg. Secretary
16-3-74.

Para 5 of Govt: Procs No
1019-29 dt 12/April/92
Cir 4

5. The detailed settlement made under the new scheme led necessarily to the investigation of the value of the Toddy Revenue of Inam villages. The results which have been obtained will enable the Government to dispose, in the most satisfactory manner possible, of the claims of Inamdars entitled to the Toddy Revenue under their Sannads, and in the meantime there will be adopted the system of indicating in the accounts the Todds Revenue of Inamdars separate and distinct from the Govt: Revenue. The maximum amount which the Inamdars can possibly claim now is Rs 7,676-3-9, as shown above, but it is liable to be reduced upon an investigation of Inamdar's claims, some of which may have to be rejected as not supported by Sannads or recognised long enjoyment. The Deputy Commrs should

now very carefully inquire
into these claims and submit
a full report in each case for the
orders of Government.

(General Serial No. 30.) 343.

1893. 11 R98

(6)

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 8th July 1893.

Despatched 10

Received

Enclosures No. 6

General No. 114
No. 11

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

With reference to para 5, of Government Proceedings No: 1019-29 dated 12th April 1892.

Forwards copy of correspondence between the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog, and this office, and states that the Inamdar of Sannamanya Sajjankere is not entitled to Toddy Revenue, but he might be allowed Mohatarfa tax on the Toddy shop which is located in his village, and from which Government derives Toddy Revenue.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No 8830 dated 2/12/93 R902

Rec'd 11/7/93

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—25-1-95—200.

Submitted for Orders. 29/11

Read 12/7

No. 1164/11 (Enc 6)

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 8th July 1893.
To

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

To, The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of Government Proceedings No: 1019-29/Cir: 4, dated 12th April 1892, I have the honor to forward herewith, copy of the marginally noted correspondence, and to add that it is not expressly stated in any of the Summads of the Survamanya Sajjankere village in the Chitaldroog Taluk, that the Inamdar is entitled to Abkari Revenue. It will be seen from the extract of the Inam Settlement Register that the above Inamdar is not entitled to Toddy Revenue; but as a Toddy shop is located in his village, and as

1. Letter No: 900/113, dated 12th March 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog.
2. Docket No: 4981/85, dated 15th/16th March 1893, to ditto.
3. Docket No: 1094/154, dated 9th May 1893, from ditto with original enclosures.
4. Docket No: 6010/117, dated 16th/19th May 1893, to ditto.
5. Docket No: 7, dated 4th/6th July 1893, from ditto with copy of its enclosure.

as the Government derives the Toddy
Revenue from the shop, it is inferred
that the Inamdar should be allowed
the Mohatarfa tax on the shop in the shape
of ground rent, the shop being located in
his land.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Jr. S.

S.R.4-1893

863

Order

443-51

No. R69—93 dt

11-7-93.

The attention of Deputy Commrs is drawn to para 5 of Government Progs: No. 1019-29 Gov: 4 dated the 12th April 1892, calling for a report in each case of claim for Toddy Revenue in an Inam Village, and they are requested to submit their report as soon as a decision is arrived at in each case. As far as possible, the enquiry should be ~~made~~ conducted in the manner prescribed in Sections 201 and 202 of the Land Revenue Code for formal Enquiries. The record should among other particulars

furnish information under the following heads. –

1. Taluk and name of Inam Village.
2. Name of Inamdar
3. Date and other particulars of the grant under which the Toddy Revenue is Conceded to the Inamdar.
4. If the Concession is not explicitly granted by Sannad, under what circumstances and on what grounds it is claimed.
5. Amount of annual payment, if any, made to the Inamdar on account of Toddy Revenue upto 1891–92.
6. The data upon which the information under head 5 is based.
7. Probable number of mature Toddy trees

trees from which Toddy is drawn.

8. What shops have been supplied by these trees in 1892-93 and 1893-94.?

9. What shops, if any, have been established within the Village in 1892-93 and 1893--94.

10. The Demand, Collection and Balance ~~Revenue~~ on account of Revenue by separate sales in 1892-93 and 1893--94.

10/7/93

Chief Secy.

subby 10/7/93

H.V

To,

The Dy Commrs of Districts.

Copy to Excise Commr with ~~reference to his~~ letter no 6565/370 of the 26th

26th June 1893.

By order

Chief Secy

SR 4/93 Sl. 3

6

(7)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 11th July 1893.

No. 443-51—R. 69, DATED, BANGALORE, 11TH JULY 1893.

ORDER.—The attention of Deputy Commissioners is drawn to para 5 of Government Proceedings No. 1019-29—Cir. 4, dated the 12th April 1892, calling for a report in each case of claim for Toddy Revenue in an Inam village, and they are requested to submit their report as soon as a decision is arrived at in each case. As far as possible, the enquiry should be conducted in the manner prescribed in Sections 201 and 202 of the Land Revenue Code for formal inquiries. The record should, among other particulars, furnish information under the following heads :—

1. Taluk and name of Inam village.
2. Name of Inamdar.
3. Date and other particulars of the grant under which the Toddy Revenue is conceded to the Inamdar.
4. If the concession is not explicitly granted by Sannad, under what circumstances and on what grounds it is claimed.
5. Amount of annual payment, if any, made to the Inamdar on account of Toddy Revenue up to 1891-92.
6. The data upon which the information under head 5 is based.
7. Probable number of mature Toddy trees from which Toddy is drawn.
8. What shops have been supplied by these trees in 1892-93 and 1893-94.
9. What shops, if any, have been established within the village in 1892-93 and 1893-94.
10. The Demand, Collection and Balance on account of Revenue by separate sales in 1892-93 and 1893-94.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Exd.—A.N.S.

1893.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 11*th July* 1893.

No. 443-51.
R. 69.

Inviting Deputy Commissioners' attention to Government Order No. 1019-29—4, dated 12th April 1892, calling for a report in each case of claim for Toddy Revenue in an Inam village, and requesting submission of the report as soon as a decision is arrived at in each case.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—12-7-93.—120.

(General Serial No. 30.)

9064
25 DEC
R1663

1893.

(8)

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Submitted with
draft for approval.

Dated 1st
Despatched 2nd } December 1893.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1936
No. 217

~~*In reply to*~~ This office No. 114/11,
dated 8/10 Ult. July 1893.

SUBJECT.

Requests that early orders
may be passed on the above,
as Mr. Goolbadsha, Inamdar
of Sajjanakere, Chitaldrug
Taluk, has petitioned the Excise
Commissioner praying for the
speedy settlement of the pension
payable to him on account
of a Toddy shop opened in his
village.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To The same No 13007
R1166

Rec'd 5/12

Rev Br 2/12/93

No. 1936/217

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 1st December 1893.

2d

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

With this office No. 114/11, dated 8/10 1st July 1893.

In continuation of the above, I have the honor to state that Mr. Goolbadsha, Inamdar of Sajjana-Kere in the Chitaldrug taluk, has petitioned me praying for the speedy settlement of the revenue payable to him on account of a Toddy shop opened in his village, and to request therefore that early orders may be passed on the above reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner

m.s

(9)

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

4/93

Dy 2nd Dec 93.
Rd 8830 Encl 6
R902

His letter No 114/11 Dy 8th July 93
forwarding the correspondence
between the Dy Comr, Chita-
droog Distt & himself
regarding the Sajjankere
Inamdars right to
Taddy Revenue.

~~~~~

Have the honor to
return the above for
Re submission in accord-
-ance with the instructions
Conveyed in Proc Rd
443-5/69-93 Dy 11th July 93.

R.O.
4/12/93 for Chief Secy
~~~~~

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—21-12-92.—2000.

2

(10)

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 7th February 1894

No 1300 / R.F. 166-92 . Re:

His letter no 1936/217 Dy 16th Dec/93 requesting orders on the claim of Mr Goolbadsha, Inamdar of Jajpankere, Chitaldroog Dist for the Toddy Rent of his village

In reply I have the honor to invite his attention to this office Nos: 8830/R902 Dy [redacted]/93 and Nos 115-29/RF.166-92 & 115-30/RF.166-92 Dy 15th Inst/93 and to request the early submission of the report on the subject as therein called for.

I have &c

for Chief Secy

7/2/94

3/2/94

115-29 relates to the report of R.F.166-92 certain Inam villages in [illegible]

115-30 relates to the report of Chitapur village in the Shimoga District. In both of which cases the D.C. returned the records for submission of revised reports & Proc No: 45-3-57 dt 11th July/93 R69

× Sd for decision

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

9

1854

189

R3853

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore. (11)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 15th June 1894

Despatched 16th

Received

Enclosures No. (1 + 2 files)

General No. 4076

No. 400

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Reports that the Amildar of Sajjankere village in the Chitaldroog Talook, is not entitled to Toddy Revenue, but only to Kuchatanja tax on the shops in his village.

Records sent back for re-investigation in accordance with

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Govt. Progs No: 2074-83 A.F. 1664-2 dt 22/8/01

16.6.94

28/6

Put up in Telegrams last story of Amildar.

28/6

S. M.

Govt Prog: 1870-

I Govt

II Govt

III Govt

Govt Sanction

16/7/94

K8

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

3404

1894

R908

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Submitted for orders

Sir,

The Dy: Commr finding may be confirmed

2/9

S. R.

Dated 10th September 1894

Despatched 11/9/94

Received

Enclosures No. (34 1/file)

General No. 1185

No. 120

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copies of communications from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District, together with the original record of enquiry as to whether the Jodidar of Chickerhalli, Molkalmuru taluk, is entitled to Toddy Revenue.

Rev 11/9/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

17/9

No 1185 (Current file) Excise Commissioner's Office,
120 Bangalore 10th September 1894.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of dockets Nos 48, dated 21st August 1894, and 66, dated 4th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District, together with copy of his finding and the original records of enquiry as to whether the Jodidar of Chickerhalli village, Molkalmuru taluk, is entitled to Toddy Revenue or not, I have the honor to state that it is clear that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue on Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. There is a Toddy Shop in it; and the Jodidar enjoys the Mohatarfa tax leviable on the Shop.

2. The rental or purchase money

money of the toddy shop for the years 1892-93 and 1893-94 is as per particulars in the margin. The whole of the revenue vests in Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

S Mackets

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

J.n.S.

	Toddy Rental — Rs.	as.	ps.	Local Cess — Rs.	as.	ps.	Total. Rs.	as.	ps.
1892-93 (12 months). --	624	-	-	39	-	-	663	-	-
1893-94 (15 months). --	780	-	-	48	12	-	828	12	-

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 21st
Desp'd 23rd August 1894
Rec'd

No. 48

With reference to his official memorandum No. 307-14/16 dated 21st ultimo, has the honor to forward copy of the undersigned's finding in the case of the Inamdar's claim to the Toddy Revenue of the Jodi Chikera-halli village Molakalmuru Taluk.

2. The claims of the Inamdars of the other two villages viz Jodi Chikenahalli in the Chitaldrug Taluk and Darva-manya Hippuraddihalli in the Chellakere Taluk, are under investigation and

and will shortly be adjudicated upon.

3. As regards the delay in the dis-
-posal of the above mentioned cases,
the undersigned begs to state that
after some Correspondence on the
Subject between the Excise Com-
missioner and this office, Mr. Krishna
Iengar the then officiating Deputy
Commissioner reported to the Excise
Commissioner in his letter No. 900/113
dated 12th March 1893, that there was
only one Inamdar in this District
who was entitled to Abkari Revenue
by virtue of his Sanad and Inam
Settlement, namely Gulbad Sha of
Dajjanakere village. It was believed
that, that report sufficiently disposed
of all the other three villages the
Inamdars of which did not come
forward with their claims.

"Copy"

Sd/ [illegible]
Excise Commissioner

Sd/ C. Sreenivasengar
Deputy Commissioner

Vernacular correspondence ending with the Molkalmuru Amildar's report No. 75 dated 20-8-1894.
Deposition of Shanbogh Gundappa of Chick Kerahalli village Inam Register of the said village (returned to Inam Superintendent)
3 copies of Proops received with Inam Superintendents yadi No. 15 dated 4th August 1894.

1894

(12)

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldrug District

To

The Executive Commissioner
in Bangalore.

Dated 4th September 1894
Chittaldrug.

Enclosures Ten.

Rep. No.

His docket No. 957
dated 25th/27th August 1894.

Has the honor to forward
the marginally
noted papers regarding
the alienation's rights
to the Kandy Revenue
of the Chikerahalli
village

village, Molakalmuru Taluk and to request that the same be obligingly returned after being done with.

/Signed/ C. Sreenivasiengar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Mr. Bowring
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir. S.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4672

1894 R 1290

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. Nos. 20483 R. 7166-92 22/8/05

SK 4-193

SC: 9

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore (14)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th
Despatched } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. 1 & 1 file

General No. 1669
No. 168

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Chittaldroog district, together with the original Record of enquiry in re Toddy Revenue of Chickkenahalli Jodi village in the Chittaldroog taluk.

R 12 7/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1667 Bangalore, dated 6th October 1874

168 (Exc 1 & 16 Fd)

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 97, dated 2d instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chittaldrug District together with the Record of enquiry therewith received, in re Toddy Revenue of Chick Kenahalli Jodi village in the Chittaldrug Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy There are some date trees in it; but the right of drawing toddy from

from them was not sold for 1892-93 & 1893-94. A Toddy Shop exists in it, which was sold for Rs 103-1-9 & Rs 128-13-3, for 1892-93 & 1893-94, respectively. These amounts being Excise Revenue wholly vest in Government and the Jodidar is entitled only to mohatarfa tax. He may be left to make his own arrangements for the rent of the date trees, with the Government Farmers who may require the trees for the manufacture of toddy.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

S Ranketh

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chital drug District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 2nd October 1894
No. 97. Enc 15. Encl. No: 9

Has the honor to forward the undersigned's finding regarding the right of the Jodidar of Chekkenally in the Chital drug Taluk to the Toddy Revenue of his village. The Inam Register &c as per Margin is also herewith forwarded with a request that the same be kindly returned when no longer required.

(Sd) C. Srinivasiengar
Deputy Commissioner

Copy.

Shuketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Excise Commissioner's office,

No. 1668/169 (Ex 1 & file) Bangalore, dated 6th October 1894.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of docket No. 93, dated 1st instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chittaldrug District, together with the Record of enquiry therewith received in re Toddy Revenue of Inam Sippareddihally, Chellekere taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. A Toddy Shop exists in it, which

was

Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc: No: 2074-83 R.F.166-92 22/8/04

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189 4

OFFICE 4673 7 OCT. 94 R1291

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

(15)

Dated 6th
Despatched } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 & 16 cs)

General No. 1668

No. 169

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the deputy Commissioner Chittaldroog district together with the original record of enquiry in re Toddy Revenue of Inam Shippeddihalli village Chellakere Talk

R1A 7/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

was sold for Rs 344-4-0, & Rs 430-5-0,
for 1892-93 & 1893-94, respectively.
These amounts being Excise
Revenue, wholly vest in Government
and the Dramdar is entitled
only to Mohatarfa tax.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 1st October 1894
No. 93. Encl

Has the honor to forward the under-signed's finding re the right of the the Inamdar of Tipparaddyhalli, — Chellakere Taluk, to the Toddy Revenue of his village. The Inam Register &c as per "pairist" is also herewith forwarded with a request that the same be kindly returned when no longer required.

/Sd/ C. Sreenivasiengar
Deputy Commissioner

Copy"

Sheets
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

R991 R991

1896

No. 105 (16)

Name of Petitioner, Gool Bahadsha Inamdar of

Village, Saganhere

Taluk, Chittaldroog

District, Chittaldroog

Date of Arzi, 4-7-96

Date of Receipt, 7-7-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 1

ABSTRACT.

States that he ought to get the Jodi Revenue of his Inam under the terms Darobust used in his summed. That the interpretation of the words 'Jodi Revenue' by the Inam Supt. works prejudicially to him.

Prays that his share of Jodi Revenue held in deposit for the previous years may be given to him.

N.R.

To. Sree [illegible]

C. Srenivasa Iyengar Esq:

Revenue & General Secretar

to the Government of Myso

By post reg.d
Rejected & transfer to Excise Branch
2 Rs Stamp affd.
A.S.
6/1/96

Bangalore
MYSORE REVENUE SECTION
PETITION OF APPEAL
REGD. No. 165 R
DATE 7-7-96

Respectfully sheweth

The humble petition of Gobl Bahe
dsha Inamdar of Saggankere
chitaldroog Taluk

Your humple petitioner prays that
your honor may be pleased to lay the
following before the Government an
obtain redress to your humble petitio

That, your humble petioner's forefath
have been granted Sera Inam of
The Saggankere Village and its ham
for the up-keep and maintenance of
The Dargah dedicated to Ahmad Shaw
and in the Sannad granted to them
Daiobast (all items) of revenue wh
is or may accrue in the village
was conferred on them The petition
has a right to enjoy the todey
Revenue of his village under the
authority of the term 'Daiobast'
entered in the Sannad which mean

all items of revenue in the village
the Inam superintendent according
sent in his No 38 dated 20-9-89 a statement to the Deputy Commissioner's office
in which your petioner was put down as
having a right to the Toddy Revenue of his
village as recorded in the Inam Register
the Inam superintendent observed
in his No 28 of 20th September 1889
the expression 'Toddy Revenue means
Toddy shop tax revenue only which is
quite inconsistant with the word 'Daruban'
mentioned in the Summad the construction
ought to be plain and favorable to your
humble petitioner. The petitioner, therefore,
humply prays that as the expression
used 'Daruban' used in the Summad
includes or is meant to include all
sorts of revenue of his village, the
petitioner humbly prays that his
share of Toddy revenue held in deposit
for the previous ~~four~~ years pending disposal
of the petitioner's claim to it, may be ordered
to be given to him
(Vide Govt orders passed in the case of
Inamdars in the Taluk of Pavgada of
the Tumkur Dist on the same subject)
the Govt be pleased to issue orders for
the disbursement of any arrears due to
Inamdars in urgent cases. The Petitioner
respectfully solicits that the Deputy com-
missioner may be ordered to pay him the
Toddy revenue settled at Rs 20 per
mensem for the previous years. Copy
of the order of Deputy Commissioner
4th July 96. dated 5-6-94 hereto annexed



Deputy Commissioner's finding Re.
Lajapathy Inamdar's claim to the
Revenue of his Village:—

The Inam Register does not entitle
the Inamdar of Lajapathy to the
Toddy Revenue of his Village. It simply
says in effect that the ground rent
or Shop tax, that is Moha tharfa,
is an Item of which he ought not
to have been deprived by the Revenue
Subordinate agency. In other words
the Inamdar became entitled to
Moha tharfa from the date of the Inam
Settlement. In his Ro 38. Dated
20. 9. 89. the Inam Superintendent
sent a Statement to this office in
which this Inamdar was ~~just~~
put down as having a right to
the Toddy Revenue of his Village
as

as recorded in the Inam Registers
The meaning of this was misappre-
-hended and a great deal of un-
-necessary correspondence has
consequently taken place. In his
letter No. 92. dated 16.3.94. the
Inam Superintendent observes that
the expression "Toddy Revenue" used
in his No. 38. dated 20.9.89, means
"Toddy Shop tax Revenue only as per
entries in the Inam Registers." If
there was any doubt on the subject,
all such doubt has now been completely
set at rest. It is clear that the
Inam Settlement does not give this
Inamdar a right to the Toddy Revenue
of his Village. I accordingly find
that he is entitled to none. He relies
on his Sannad in which is used
the word "Asrobast". Whether this
expression includes or was meant
to include, Toddy Revenue, is a matter
with

with which I am not concerned. If the Inamdar thinks it includes Lodvy Revenue, it is open to him to have the Inam Settlement altered by appeal or revision.

Lof. C. Sreenivasacharya
Deputy Commissioner.
5. 6. 94.

"Copy"
Sreenivasacharya
Deputy Commissioner

Copy applied for ———	8.	6. 94.
Do - ready for delivery	9.	6. 94.
Do - Given.	9.	6. 94.
Number of words. ———	301	
Copyists fee -	" —	6 —
Comparing fee.	" —	1 — 4.
Total	" —	7 · 4

Exise.]

1896



472

17

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 15th
Despatched 17th } July 1896.
Received

General No. 364
No. 42

Enclosure No.

~~*In reply to*~~ This office letters Nos. 1668 dated the 6th October 1894, & 1185 20, & 1691 10 11 & September 1894.

SUBJECT

Requests the return of the Inam Registers of the villages of Thipparedi-halli & Chickerahalli in the Chittaldroog district, as the Inam Superintendent urgently requires them.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev.
1877/96

Submitted draft.
22/7/96.

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No. 364/42 Bangalore, dated 15th/17th July 1895.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments

Sir,

This office letters Nos. 1668/169, dated the 6th October 1894, and 1185/120, dated the 10th/11th September 1894.

I have the honor to request the return of the Inam Registers of the villages of Shipparedddihalli and Chickerahalli in the Chittaldroog District submitted with the above references, as the Inam Superintendent urgently requires them.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

J. Murray Jr
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Draft for approval

189

(1)

From

The General Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 834 dy 23-7-96

No. 40

Enclosure No. 2

His letter No. 364/42 dy. 15th July 96 ~~soliciting the~~ requesting return of the Inam Registers of the Thipparedhalli & Chickarehalli villages in the Chitaldrug Dt.

Has the honor to return the Inam Registers of the two villages referred to in the above.

B. O

23/7/96
for Secretary

22/7/96

23/7/96

12. From Excise Commissioner No 834/42 Dt 15-7-96

Requests the Return of Inam Registers of Tipparedoballe & Chukerahalle, as it is required by the Inam Superintendent

13. To Excise Commissioner No 834/40

Dt 25-7-96.

Returns the two Inam Registers requir

Countersigned

E. K. Chami